



Plagiarism Checker X - Report

Originality Assessment

Overall Similarity: **24%**

Date: Sep 7, 2021

Statistics: 813 words Plagiarized / 3318 Total words

Remarks: Moderate similarity detected, you better improve the document (if required).

The Flouting of Maxims in the First Presidential Debate between Joe Biden and Donald Trump 2020: The Eclectic Approach Alinda Ockty Prirahayu¹, Hasan Khalawi, M.Pd², Dwi Rahayu, M.Pd³. 1English Education Department, STKIP PGRI Pacitan Email:

alindaockty1@gmail.com 2 English Education Department, STKIP PGRI Pacitan Email:

hasankhalawi@gmail.com 3 English Education Department, STKIP PGRI Pacitan Email:

dwirahayu6537@gmail.com Abstract: Flouting maxims can cause the different perception which leads to such distortion and ambiguity to the hearers, particularly in debate. Based on the conformity with the search of the research, the eclectic approach had not been discussed. The aim of the research was to classify the types and strategies to flout the maxims. The data were taken from the utterances found in the transcript of debate between Donald Trump and Joe Biden. There were 37 utterances which were analyzed qualitatively by using Spradley Model Analysis to determine the types and strategies to flout the maxims. It was known as Ethnographic analysis contains four qualitative data analysis techniques. Those are domain analysis, taxonomic, componential, and cultural theme analysis. The result shows the flouting maxim of quantity is the most dominant one, with 18 utterances. Then it was followed by the flouting maxims of relation with 11 utterances. Flouting maxim manner also occurred in third place with 7 utterances. And the last one is flouting maxim of quality which rarely occurred. The interlocutors tended to flout maxim of quantity. The speakers tended to produce a very long and comprehensive explanation in order to persuade the hearers. There were five strategies employed. They were tautology, overstatement, understatement, rhetorical question and irony. There was one strategy that did not emerge in the finding. It was metaphor. Both debaters interrupted each other's speech when their standpoints being attacked and or to defend themselves. It is very significant to carry out this study about flouting the maxims, especially in debate because most debaters have tendencies to make exaggeration in proposing their agenda to influence the audiences' perception. ¹⁵This research is expected

to be beneficial to further research especially, in comprehending the maxims flouting.

Keywords: debate, eclectic approach, flouting maxim, maxims, strategies INTRODUCTION

In every way, pragmatics cannot be separated from people's everyday life because living in a society is required to communicate mutually among them. In conversing, it not only engages reciprocally equal turn of both interlocutors but also provides a meaningful response. Supposedly, when we are dealing with communication, particularly verbal communication it involves more than ¹one person to make it happen. Therefore, ²for the sake of cooperation to create a smooth sailing communication, Grice proposes a notion called Cooperative Principle. He convinces that the flow of communication will run accordingly and effectively if the principles involving four maxims are abided (Grice, 1975, as cited in Ayasreh et al., 2019: 52). The effort to make contribution and be cooperative during communication or conversation is called Cooperative Principle. This principle is ²proposed By Grice (1975). Grice has divided Cooperative Principle into four categories, they are **maxim of quantity, maxim of quality, maxim of relation** and **maxim of manner** (as cited in Embu-Worho, 2020: 14). However, the reality tells different things regarding how the speakers and hearers convey and receive the intended messages. When those maxims are failed to be obeyed, the non-observance maxims appear. ³One of the maxims which commonly occurs is flouting since the speakers cease to fulfill the maxim to urge the hearers to imply the concealed meaning or message behind the utterances, which is so called implicature (Levinson, 1983, as cited in Ibrahim, et.al., 2018: 82). ¹One of the situations of flouting maxims can **be found in** debate. In debate, the way speakers communicate will be different than that of daily communication between friends. When communicating with someone you are familiar with, the diction used is not a long-winded speech as that of in debate. In debate, ³due to a concealed motive to obtain, the debaters' choice of words contains a veiled message that is to influence society's interest. In this research, it brought about the first presidential debate between Joe Biden and Donald Trump in 2020 because those two used such a lengthened speech and indirect words just to beat their opponent or make a statement. The debate between Joe Biden and Donald Trump is interesting to be analyzed because, after the winning of the elected president, the policy offered from their speech is going to be centred of attention. Indirectly, the policies can affect ²to the other

countries when enacting a state policy. There are some ways of maxim flouting used by the speaker in a conversation based on Grundy (2000: 76). Those are, 11 tautology, metaphor, overstatement, understatement, rhetorical question and irony. Tautology is used by speakers as the way to express complex meaning in an easier way (Grundy: 2000). According to Levinson (1983: 148), metaphor 2 is the use of an expression which metaphorical expression and literal expression are inter-correlated. Overstatement 3 is used to make the speaker's utterance seem more important than it actually is by adding unimportant information. Grundy (2000) calls it as understatement in which the importance of 2 the information given by the speaker is less than they need to give to the hearer. Rhetorical strategy is a strategy in which the questions are not needed to be answered. According to Leech (as cited in Cutting, 2002: 38), irony is an expression that is apparently polite and friendly but actually offensive. Further to grasp the deep meaning, the researcher also employed the eclectic approach. What is meant by eclectic approach is employing more than one paradigm or set of assumptions to achieve complementary insight into a subject or utilizing different theories in certain cases. There were several previous studies related to this research. Ibrahim, et.al (2018) focused on analyzing flouting of maxims that were flouted by the characters in the Se7en movie script and the motivation of the characters flouted the maxims. Another research was done by Rahmastra and Sosiowati (2018). It was aimed to identify the strategies applied and the reasons of maxim flouting by the characters in Lincoln movie script. 2 The aims of the research to reveal the types of flouted maxims, to unearth the strategies used to flout the maxims and to unpack how the eclectic approach view the flouting maxims in the first presidential debate between Joe Biden and Donald Trump in 2020. METHODS This research used 3 descriptive qualitative method to analyse the 37 flouted utterances in the debate. The object of the study was mainly taken from the utterances that are flouted in the first presidential debate between Joe Biden and Donald Trump 2020. Those utterances were then analysed to determine the types of flouting maxims, what strategies were used to flout the maxims, and how the debate was viewed from eclectic approach. After the data were collected, those

were analysed by employing Spradley Model Analysis which is known as Ethnographic Analysis (1979:174). Ethnographic analysis contains four qualitative data analysis techniques. They are (a) domain analysis, (b) taxonomic analysis, (c) componential analysis, and (d) theme analysis. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** There were 37 utterances which were

flouted by the speakers. Their type of maxims can be drawn in the chart below. Figure 4.1 The Summary of Flouted Maxims ²Based on the figure above, it can be seen that the 48 % (18 utterances) of flouted maxim utterances was dominated by flouting maxim of quantity. Afterward, it was followed by flouting maxim of relation with 30 % (11) utterances. The speakers also flouted the maxim of manner even though the percentage was not high, with only 19 % (7). And the last, it was likely that the debaters less flouted the maxim of quality with only 3 % (1) from the whole flouted utterances. There were five out of six

strategies utilized in flouting the maxims. ³The types of strategies employed to flout the maxims are drawn in the chart below. Figure 4.2 Type of Strategies to Flout the Maxims The figure above explains about the strategies used to flout the maxims. There were five strategies found. They were tautology, overstatement, understatement, rhetorical question and irony. From the whole strategies, it seems that overstatement strategy was dominantly employed to flout the maxims, with 54% (20 utterances). Meanwhile, understatement strategy was in second with 38 % (14). Next strategy used was tautology, rhetorical question and irony, with 3% (1 utterance). Metaphor strategy did not appear in the analysis of first presidential debate. Types of Flouting Maxims in ⁴the First Presidential Debate

between Donald Trump and Joe Biden in 2020 There were 37 utterances which were flouted by the speakers. They were categorized into four types of maxims. Flouting

²Maxim of Quantity Flouting maxim of quantity occurs when speakers blatantly provide much or less unessential information. The first example was taken from the excerpt in first segment. Chris Wallace: (06:04) "...And in fact, recently you have cited the issue of waiters touching their masks and touching plates. Are you questioning the efficacy of masks?"

President Donald J. Trump: (06:20) "No, I think masks are okay. You have to understand, if you look... I mean, I have a mask right here. I put a mask on when I think I need it. ...-" From

the snippet of the excerpt found in the debate, the moderator Chris Wallace asked about the efficiency of masks. The hearers expected that **4**President Donald Trump gave necessary answer with sufficient information. On the contrary, when answering the question from the moderator, **9**President Donald Trump provided more than needed information. **9**Flouting

Maxim of Quality When a speaker flouts the maxim of quality, he/she does not give truthful information or say something that obviously **4**does not represent what she/he thinks. **4**Vice

President Joe Biden: (09:12) "...Well, there's seven million people that contracted COVID.

What does it mean for them going forward if you strike down **the Affordable Care Act?**"

President Donald J. Trump: (09:39) "Joe, **1**you've had 308,000 military people dying because you couldn't provide them proper healthcare in the military. So don't tell me about this."

The utterance from **President Donald Trump** did not give **Vice President Joe Biden** truthful information. Instead, his answer was laced with irony to attack the opponent. The remark,

"So **don't tell me about this**" denoted that what **President Joe Biden** said was contradictory

with the real situation at hand. Flouting **10**Maxim of Relation Flouting maxim of relation

happens when **a statement is made to be irrelevant to the** previous utterance. It is to

8expect that the hearers are able to imagine what the utterance did not say and make

connection between his/ her **utterance and the preceding** one. The example can be drawn

as follow. **1**Vice President Joe Biden: (14:12) "I'm here standing facing you, old buddy."

President Donald J. Trump: (14:14) "If **Pocahontas would have left two days early you would have lost every** primary." The exchange **between President Donald Trump** and Joe Biden

flouted the maxim of relation. The topic they discussed was about Bernie Sanders, US Senator. Each of them tried to beat up the opponent by throwing words even though they

were related. The bolded utterances indicated **2**that the speakers flouted the maxim of

relation. Flouting **Maxim of Manner** Flouting **maxim of manner** occurs when speakers fail

to **being brief, using obscure** words and being ambiguous. They may say something not

briefly or orderly. The **example of flouting maxim of manner can be** explained below.

1President Donald J. Trump: (14:46) You'd be surprised. You'd be surprised. Go ahead, Joe.

Vice President Joe Biden: (14:47) **The wrong guy, the wrong night, at the wrong time.** The

exchange between ⁴Donald Trump and Joe Biden is obviously to attempt to tear each other down. The excerpt above clearly highlighted the obscure words remarked by Vice President Joe Biden. When ¹President Donald Trump made a statement, Joe Biden responded by saying obscure words. Joe Biden failed to fulfil the hearers' expectation in the exchange by flouting maxim of manner. Strategies Used to Flout the Maxims in ⁴the First Presidential

Debate between Joe Biden and Donald Trump in 2020. After the analysis, it was found that there were five out of six strategies utilized in flouting the maxims. From the whole strategies, it seems that overstatement strategy was dominantly employed to ³flout the maxims. Metaphor strategy did not appear in the analysis of first presidential debate.

Tautology This strategy ²is used by speaker as the way to express complex meaning in an easier way. There was only one tautology strategy found in the analysis. Tautology was used to flout the maxim of quantity. The example was taken from datum 33 Segment VI.

Chris Wallace: (01:03:58) Sir. ¹President Donald J. Trump: (01:03:58) ... all run by Democrats-
Chris Wallace: (01:03:59) Two minutes is two minutes. From example above, the utterance "Two minutes is two minutes" can be implied that Chris Wallace flout the maxim of quantity because he did not give an informative statement. The utilization of tautology functioned

to remind President Donald Trump that duration of speaking had ended. Overstatement
The ²strategy of overstatement occurs when a speaker gives to much information than what is needed. ³It can also be called as hyperbole which is used to exaggerate expressions. This strategy is employed to make utterances seem to be more essential than it actually is by adding up unnecessary information. The examples are displayed below.

¹Vice President Joe Biden: (14:10) I beat him by a whole hell of a lot. President Donald J. Trump: (14:12) Not by much. Joe Biden failed to observe maxim of quality by saying "I beat him by a whole hell of a lot" as a response to Donald Trump's statement. The use of a phrase a whole hell of a lot indicated of exaggerating expression. The function of the words is to emphasize that he succeeded in defeating Bernie Sanders. Understatement

Understatement ⁵is one of the strategies to flout the maxims in which the speaker gives too little information than the hearer required to know. The significance of the information

provided by the speaker is regarded as something that is less than ¹they need to give to the hearers. The examples were provided as follows. **President Donald J. Trump:** (14:46) *You'd be surprised. You'd be surprised. Go ahead, Joe.* **Vice President Joe Biden:** (14:47) *The wrong guy, the wrong night, at the wrong time.* Both the candidates of US President seemed tried to attack each other. It can be seen from how Joe Biden replied to Donald Trump's remark. By giving unrelated information, Joe Biden ²flouted the maxim of relation. Thus, the little information received by the hearers justified that he employed understatement strategy. Rhetorical Question Rhetorical question is a strategy in which the speaker asks the question that does not need an answer because the answer is already obvious. It was found there was one rhetorical strategy in the analysis which was employed to flout the maxim of relation. **Chris Wallace:** (46:19) "... Well, you're a ¹side agreed to it and why don't you observe what your campaign agreed to as a ground rule. Okay, sir?" **Vice President Joe Biden:** (46:33) *He never keeps his word. The fact that* **Chris Wallace** threw question to remind the **President Donald Trump** to not go off the track of the topic, **Vice President Joe Biden** answered the question. This such question which ³does not need an answer because the response is already clear. Irony Irony is an expression that is in the surface it looks polite and friendly but it is in fact laden with offensive intention. Irony ¹is the way someone to commit flouting maxim by saying something nice but not truthful. The example of irony can be explained below. **Vice President Joe Biden:** (09:12) ... *Well, there's seven million people that contracted COVID. What does it mean for them going forward if you strike down the Affordable Care Act?* **President Donald J. Trump:** (09:39) ... *So don't tell me about this.* Based on the example above, it can be implicitly perceived that according to ⁴**President Donald Trump**, what **Vice president Joe Biden** was not the truth. By saying "don't tell me about it", he implied that **Vice President Joe Biden** did contrarily to what he had said. The Flouted Maxims Viewed from Eclectic Approach of Analysis in **the First Presidential Debate between Joe Biden and Donald Trump** in 2020. This debate was viewed from eclectic approach since the behaviour of both debaters was included in ¹³the scope of humanistic values such as analyzing the manner when they conduct the debate. Both

debaters interrupted each other's speech when their standpoints being attacked and or to defend themselves. ¹To express their disagreement, it was not unusual to cut the opponent in the middle of delivering their argument. From the behaviours of how the debaters engage in debate, especially, from The President Donald Trump's, it is not a good manner and utterly rude to cut someone's speech before they end their words. In psychology, it is called as dissociative identity disorder (DID), which means a person has two or more different personalities. Further in philosophy, it tends to dualism issue. As the saying goes "Manners Maketh Man", people judge one's characters from their conduct and mannerisms. They are reflected to the behaviour ²when dealing with communication with other people. ¹No matter what excuses or ulterior motives they have, when speaking on public, it is essential to the manner of speaking. CONCLUSION The result of ²this present study revealed that in the debate between Donald Trump and Joe Biden, the interlocutors tended to flout maxim of quantity. In one hand, each of their arguments was laden with giving too much information when trying to convince the hearers or to defend their stance. On the other hand, when the opponent seemed to argue, attack and rebut his standpoint, the other speaker vaguely answered and deflected it by providing less information. The analysis indicates that in debate, the speakers tended to produce a very long and comprehensive explanation in order to persuade the hearers. The implication of such a finding was that both candidates of US President had tendency to give much more information than necessary and exaggerate it in order to make conviction to the hearers so that subconsciously, they believe in what they propose. ³This kind of setting appeared to happen in the attempt to persuade the mass by giving additional information to be polished as important information, even though in reality it was not that necessary. Overstatement strategy was mostly used to flout ²the maxim of quantity and maxim of manner. Thus, if people have knowledge of flouting the maxims, when they choose certain candidates of president, their choice will be determined wisely. The researcher suggests to other researchers to conduct and explore the studies in wider area to dig up new phenomena related to flouting the maxims. REFERENCES Ayasreh, A. M., Awwad, A. S.,

Nada, A. L., & Ayasrah, N. M. (2019). [2](#)Analysis of the flouting of Grice's conversational maxims By Arab leaders during Arab spring. *Life Science Journal*, 16(12), 52-56. doi:10.7537/marslsj161219.07

[7](#)Cutting, J. (2002). *Pragmatics and discourse: a resource book for students*. New York: Routledge.

[7](#)Grice, H. P. (1975). *Logic and Conversation*. In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and Semantics 3: Speech Acts* (pp. 41-58). New York: Academic Press.

Grundy, P. (2000). *Doing pragmatics*. New York: Oxford University.

Ibrahim, Z., Arifin, M. B., & Setyowati, R. (2018). [2](#)The flouting of maxim in the se7en movie script. *Ilmu Budaya: Jurnal Bahasa, Sastra, Seni dan Budaya*, 2(1), 81-94.

Kumar, Praveen. (2013). The eclectic method- Theory and its application to the learning of English. [12](#)*International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 3(6), pp.1-4.

Levinson, Stephen C. 1983. *Pragmatics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rahmastra, I. G. B. A., Sosiowati, I. G. A. G., & Yadnya, I. B. P. (2018). [6](#)The strategies of maxim flouting in Lincoln movie script. *Jurnal Humanis, Fakultas Ilmu Budaya Unud*, 22, 943-949. DOI: 10.24843/JH.2018.v22.i04p15

□ □ □ □

Sources

1	https://www.debates.org/voter-education/debate-transcripts/september-29-2020-debate-transcript/ INTERNET 8%
2	https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351183317_Floating_Gricean_Maxims_for_Comic_Implicatures_in_Hassan_El_Fad's_Sitcom_Comedy_Tendance_Forth_Episode INTERNET 6%
3	https://www.researchgate.net/publication/347886412_The_analysis_of_illocutionary_acts_of_judges'_comments_in_America's_next_top_model_and_Asia's_next_top_model_competitions_A_cross-cultural_pragmatic_study INTERNET 3%
4	https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/2020-election/debate-fact-check-trump-biden-face-final-debate-n1244367 INTERNET 2%
5	http://jim.unsyiah.ac.id/READ/article/download/14911/6350 INTERNET 1%
6	https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Putra-Yadnya-Ida-Bagus INTERNET 1%
7	https://www.scirp.org/reference/ReferencesPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=1624739 INTERNET 1%
8	https://www.academia.edu/8897891/The_Pragmatic_Aspects_of_Humor_Creation_A_Study_on_Grice's_Cooperative_Principles INTERNET 1%
9	https://journal.ikipsiliwangi.ac.id/index.php/project/article/download/1479/pdf INTERNET <1%
10	https://lppm.umgo.ac.id/php_assets/uploads/2021/03/Publikasi_Jurnal-Yulan-Puluhulawa-2.pdf INTERNET <1%
11	http://journal.student.uny.ac.id/ojs/ojs/index.php/quill/article/download/2786/2355 INTERNET <1%
12	http://staff.uny.ac.id/sites/default/files/penelitian/dr-amanatie-mpd-msi/2-dr-amanatie-ijsrp-p4380-ijsrp-juli-2015.pdf INTERNET <1%
13	https://www.skillsyouneed.com/ips/verbal-communication.html INTERNET <1%
14	https://www.researchgate.net/publication/248451960_Political_discourse_as_mediated_and_public_discourse INTERNET <1%

